The New GDP Series: Five Changes and the Need for Better Measurement

Originally published: 16 October 2026

News context: the methodology publication of 21 September 2026 | National Accounts - Statistics | GS-III

When the method of measurement changes, the recorded picture of economic activity can change. This should not be understood as proof that real output, wealth or household savings rose at the same time.

Why in the News

On 21 September 2026, the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation published the "Sources and Methods" of the national accounts. It is a compilation of the concepts, sources and methods of the series with the 2022-23 base year. The series was released on 27 February and updated on 31 August. So the September event is not the first launch of a new series but the publication of the detailed methodology. The ministry clarified that no new data was released in it.

Beyond the Change of Base Year

In measuring GDP, that is gross domestic product, the classification, sources, coverage and methods of estimation matter along with the base year. GVA, that is gross value added, is obtained by subtracting intermediate consumption from output. With better information, its division among different activities can be more accurate.

Key Facts

One: multi-activity companies: earlier, a company's entire activity could be placed in its main industry. Now, from the MGT-7/7A filings, activity-wise turnover and suitable ratios are used to allocate output and consumption, and GVA is then derived. This is not as simple as splitting GVA in the ratio of turnover.

Two: government housing services: the imputed value of the housing service received by employees given government housing has been added to the measurement. An imputed value is an estimated service value; do not take it as a new cash payment made to the employee.

Three: the useful life of assets: the useful-life assumptions for estimating the consumption of fixed capital have been updated. For example, for dwellings the new series uses 60-75 years in place of the old series' 70-80 years. This is not a certificate of the age of every real building.

What Do the Remaining Two Changes Say?

Four: household financial savings: in the estimates of the related shares, debentures, mutual funds and mixed instruments, coverage has increased with SEBI's information. Recording new instruments and secondary market investment better is not the same as that much new saving being created that year.

Five: rooftop solar power: solar power produced by households for their own use has been included. Even when an activity within the production boundary is not sold in the market, giving it a place in the measurement is necessary.

Keeping comparability: a change of method can change the levels and the shares of sectors. Comparison needs the same series and clear revision information, not an arbitrary mix of old and new amounts.

Analysis and Way Forward

The importance of these changes is recording the economy's changing form more accurately. For transparency, the sources, assumptions and the path of revision should be understandable to users. Checking delays or incomplete coverage in administrative records and matching with other sources is also necessary. Better statistics build a useful basis for policy, but real income or welfare does not rise merely from a revised calculation. Keep apart the date of publication, the period used in the estimate and the date of the economic change. The subject of this methodology study is not announcing a new rate of quarterly growth but understanding the framework behind that measurement.

Conceptual Distinction

A recorded value rising, coverage widening and real activity rising can be separate causes. Analyse the revision of national accounts from this distinction.

Mains View

The measurement of national income in GS-III. In the answer, explain the importance of sources, coverage and comparability with the five examples; do not call it merely a change of base year.

UPSC CSE Mains Style: Original Practice Question

How do new sources and better classification in the measurement of national income change the picture of the economy? Explain with suitable examples.

(Answer in 150 words) | 10 marks

Answer Outline

Introduction: National income estimates depend on sources, coverage and the classification of activities. Better measurement can change the recorded picture of the economy, but it does not prove that real production or welfare rose at that time.

Main Body: Explain the better allocation of output and intermediate consumption and the estimate of GVA from activity-wise information on multi-activity companies. Give examples: the imputed service of government housing, the useful life of assets, wider sources of financial savings and households' own-use solar power. Do not treat an imputed value as a new cash payment, coverage as new saving, or the recognition of a useful life as the real age of every building.

Conclusion: Suggest transparent sources, disclosure of assumptions, checking of administrative data and comparison in a consistent series. Use the data in policy keeping revised measurement and real economic change separate; do not call a methodology publication the release of a new GDP figure.

Sources

- MoSPI: the original release of the methodology publication, 21 September 2026
- The original Sources and Methods: chapters 3, 6, 25 and the savings/electricity-related parts
- A contemporary explanation of the five changes, 23 September 2026

Remember: on 21 September the compilation of methods came out; no new series or new GDP figure was released for the first time.