Quad Foreign Ministers' Delhi Meeting: From Hormuz to the South China Sea

Foreign Policy and Regional Security | News reference: 26 May 2026 | Published: 17 October 2026

On 26 May 2026, a meeting of the Quad foreign ministers was held in New Delhi under India's hosting. The joint statement placed freedom of navigation in the Strait of Hormuz and the Red Sea, opposition to unilateral actions in the South and East China Seas, and two concrete maritime domain awareness initiatives - India's operation of the IPMDA and the new IPMSC - at the centre.

Why in the News

According to the four countries' joint statement (26 May), the ministers emphasised the unimpeded flow of global commerce through the Strait of Hormuz and the Red Sea in accordance with UNCLOS, condemned attacks on commercial vessels and opposed UNCLOS-contrary measures such as tolls. Serious concern was expressed over dangerous and coercive actions in the South China Sea - water cannon, ramming, militarisation - and the ten-year-old Arbitral Award was called an 'important milestone' in dispute resolution. The statement also mentions North Korea's complete denuclearisation, a cessation of violence in Myanmar and ASEAN centrality. Among the concrete initiatives, India's operation of the IPMDA through IFC-IOR (Gurugram) and the launch of the Indo-Pacific Maritime Surveillance Collaboration (IPMSC) are prominent.

What is the Quad - an Alliance or a Forum?

The Quad (Quadrilateral Security Dialogue) is an informal forum of Australia, India, Japan and the United States - it has no treaty, secretariat or collective-defence provision. It operates at summit and foreign-minister level and cooperates practically through working groups on subjects such as maritime security, critical technology, supply chains and humanitarian assistance. Calling it an 'Asian NATO' is wrong - it is not a military alliance but a minilateral (select-membership) coordination forum.

Key Facts

The meeting: 26 May 2026, New Delhi; India the host; the foreign ministers of Australia, India and Japan and the US Secretary of State present

Hormuz-Red Sea: navigation rights and commercial flows in accordance with UNCLOS; condemnation of attacks on commercial vessels; opposition to UNCLOS-contrary measures such as tolls

South China Sea: serious concern over water cannon, flares, ramming/blocking and the militarisation of disputed features; the ten-year-old Arbitral Award called a 'milestone'

Maritime initiatives: India's operation of the IPMDA from IFC-IOR Gurugram; work towards the IPMSC (initially the Indian Ocean region) and a Common Operational Picture

Other agenda: North Korea's complete denuclearisation, condemnation of cyber/IT-worker activities; cessation of violence in Myanmar; support for ASEAN centrality, the PIF and IORA

Four pillars: maritime and transnational security, economic prosperity and security, critical and emerging technology, humanitarian assistance and emergency response

Three Meanings for India

A centre in maritime awareness: the IPMDA being operated from IFC-IOR in Gurugram makes India an 'information hub' in the Indian Ocean - strength to the image of a net security provider

A direct link to energy security: the Strait of Hormuz is the artery of India's crude oil and LNG imports; the statement's navigation-security language connects directly to India's supply interests

The diplomacy of balance: the forum opposes coercive actions in the South China Sea, but is not a military alliance - an instrument of balance within India's strategic autonomy

Analysis and Way Forward

See it in three layers. (1) The forum's nature: the Quad is consensus-based, so while the statement's language is strong, the action points are incremental - the chain from IPMDA to a Common Operational Picture and then the IPMSC is slow but real; its success will be measured by the level of data sharing. (2) India's position: the hosting and the IFC-IOR role show 'convening power' - but among the four pillars, measurable outcomes (how many regional countries received MDA data, how many joint exercises) will decide credibility. (3) Obstacles: members' differing priorities, China's response and the 'Quad apprehension' in the region (that it moves ASEAN away from the centre) - the statement's ASEAN-centrality language is an answer to this very apprehension. Note: this analysis is based on the text of the joint statement of 26 May 2026; verify the implementation progress of the initiatives against the Ministry of External Affairs' records.

Differences in Concepts

Quad versus military alliance (AUKUS/NATO) - the first is a coordination forum, not a collective-defence commitment. IPMDA versus IPMSC - the first is an information-sharing initiative for maritime domain awareness, the second a coordination of surveillance efforts. And a foreign ministers' meeting versus a summit - monitoring of policy implementation in the former, direction-setting in the latter.

Mains View

GS-II: India and the world, bilateral/regional groupings, the Indo-Pacific. In the answer, keep the meeting's facts (26 May, Delhi, India the host), the statement's key points (Hormuz, South China Sea, IPMDA/IPMSC - with source references), the forum-versus-alliance difference, and the condition of measurable outcomes.

UPSC CSE Mains Style: Original Practice Question

'For India, the Quad is an instrument of a rules-based order in the Indo-Pacific, but its credibility rests on concrete outcomes more than on announcements.' Comment with reference to the joint statement of 26 May 2026.

(Answer in 150 words) | 10 marks

Answer Outline

Introduction: The meeting and the definition of the Quad as a forum.

Main Body: The statement's key points: UNCLOS/Hormuz, the South China Sea, IPMDA-IFC-IOR and IPMSC. Support for a rules-based order and India's hosting role; limits of a consensus-based forum, differing priorities and ASEAN concerns; a path through measurable MDA data-sharing outcomes and regional participation.

Conclusion: Assess the Quad through maritime information sharing, regional participation and measurable cooperation. Keep ASEAN centrality and members' differing priorities alongside the rules-based order. Do not treat the joint statement as a collective-defence treaty or proof that the initiatives are fully achieved.

Sources

- Ministry of External Affairs - joint statement document (26 May 2026)
- US State Department - full text of the joint statement (mirror)
- Ministry of External Affairs - transcript of the special briefing

The joint statement's text is available on the MEA document page; detailed verification was done from the US State Department's mirror text (both are the same joint text).

Read also: Indian naval presence in West Asia and Hormuz | India-China border talks: a reading of the 25th round.

Remember: the Quad's Delhi meeting is firm in language and incremental in action - for India, the real test is turning IFC-IOR-centred maritime awareness into measurable regional outcomes.